

Find ALL candidate keys of the relational schema

$R = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$

$F = \{$
 $A \rightarrow BC$
 $CD \rightarrow E$
 $B \rightarrow D$
 $E \rightarrow A$
 $\}$

A decomposition of R into R1 and R2 is **lossless** IF

$R1 \cap R2 \rightarrow R1$ or $R1 \cap R2 \rightarrow R2$

OR

The decomposition of relation R into R1 and R2 is **lossless** when the join of R1 and R2 yields the same relation as in R.

The decomposition of relation R into R1 and R2 is **lossy** when the join of R1 and R2 does not yield the same relation as in R.

STUDENT

Id	Sname	Dept
111	green	ASDV
222	green	MATH

Lossy Decomposition

Id	Sname	Sname	Dept
111	green	green	ASDV
222	green	green	MATH

Key is Id

Dept is key

The intersection? **(Id, Sname)** **Intersection** **(Sname, Dept) = Sname**

Sname is not the key of neither of the 2 tables.

In lossy decomposition, spurious tuples are generated when a natural join is applied to the relations in the decomposition. SPURIOUS TUPLES are generated.

JOIN ON COMMON ATTRIBUTE, **Sname**

Id	Sname	Dept
111	green	ASDV
111	green	MATH
222	green	ASDV
222	green	MATH

LOSSLESS Decomposition

Id	Sname
111	green
222	green

Id	Dept
111	ASDV
222	MATH

JOIN on common Attribute Id

Id	Sname	Dept
111	green	ASDV
222	green	MATH

Given $R = (A, B, C, D, E)$.

Decompose it into $R_1 = (A, B, C)$, $R_2 = (A, D, E)$.

The set of functional dependencies is: $A \rightarrow BC$, $CD \rightarrow E$, $B \rightarrow D$, $E \rightarrow A$.

Show that this decomposition is a lossless or lossy by:

- Creating tables with fictional data which reflect the existing dependencies
- Applying the rule of the intersection of 2 tables.

Use the same R and F.

Decompose into $R_1 = (A, B, C)$, $R_2 = (C, D, E)$.

Show that this decomposition is lossless or lossy by:

- Creating tables with fictional data which reflect the existing dependencies
- Applying the rule of the intersection of 2 tables.